Single Bench

(2) (4)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE CHHATTISGARH

AT BILASPUR

W.P.(S) No. 6208 /2006

PETITIONER

Santosh Kumar Sahu Son of Shri Gandhi Ram Sahu, aged about 45 years, Occupation- Assistant Teacher, R/o B.D.Mahant Upnagar Janjgir District Janjgir-Champa (C.G.)

P.R. No. 6247/06
Presented by Shri A. Shrivastava
dated 9-11-06

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh Through: Secretary Department of Education Mantralaya, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)
2. District Education Officer, Janjgir, District Janjgir-Champa (C.G.)
3. Block Education Officer, Block-Nawagarh District-Janjgir-Champa (C.G.)

RECEIVED-COPY
Baretha 9-11-06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION U/A 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRITS IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS AND CERTIORARI AND FOR OTHER SUITABLE WRITS AND DIRECTIONS

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(23)

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.(S) No. 6203/06 सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	14-11-2006 Shri Ashwani Shukla, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Ajay Dwivedi, Panel Lawyer for the State/respondents. Heard. Petitioner claiming himself to be entitled for two advance increments for passing B.Ed. examination at his own cost on the basis of notification dated 24-12-1998 and the judgment passed by this Court in Writ Petition No.3977 of 2003 dated 03-04-2006 has preferred this writ petition for a direction by this Court to grant two advance increments to him. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that representation filed by the petitioner before respondent No.3 on 30/10/2006 has not so far been decided. It is directed that within a month from the date of receipt of copy of this order, respondent No.3 shall decide the representation dated 30/10/2006 filed by the petitioner, taking into account notification dated 24-12-1998 and the judgment passed by this Court in Writ Petition No.3977 of 2003 dated 03-04-2006 in accordance with law and rules applicable to the petitioner's case. Thereafter if exigency arises, petitioner shall be at liberty to file afresh. With the aforesaid directions, this writ petition is disposed of. Sd/- V.K. Shrivastava Judge	
Raju		

(पीछे देखिये)